

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/698,265	10/30/2000	Marc Iacovelli	8209.506	6648
	7590 09/08/2003			
09/698,265 10/30/2000 Marc Iacovelli	EXAMINER			
		RHEE, JANE J		
Bethesda, MI	20817		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	19
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Adria ama Adria a	09/698,265	IACOVELLI ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jane J Rhee	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required the final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this r: (1) a timely filed amendme peal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a proper reply to the application of the application in the application application in the application in the application application in the application	o a on in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponent of the control of t	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date pire later than SIX MONTHS from t WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH.  The date on which the petition uncriod of extension and the corresponte of the shortened statutory period of Office later than three months after	he mailing date of the final rejection HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. So ler 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the approp ding amount of the fee. The approp for reply originally set in the final Of	ee MPEP riate extension oriate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	ed because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require fu	urther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No		·	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	on in better form for appeal l	by materially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can NOTE:	nceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely filed ar	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because	t for reconsideration has beed:	n considered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which were r	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:		
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a) □ approved or b) □	disapproved by the Examine	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	

**Art Unit: 1772** 

#### **ADVISORY ACTION**

#### Repeated Rejections

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Primeau (5482759).

Primeau discloses a molded flexible mat having a raised peripheral lip provided on side edges of the molded mat (figure 1 sides of the mat), a first area contained within the peripheral lip, the first area having a recessed bottom surface and a plurality of raised protrusion extending from the bottom surface thereby providing a texturized surface (figure 1), at least one third area having a substantially smooth bottom surface (figure 1 number 22), the at least one third area provided within the first area of the mat and adjacent to the texturized surface pattern (figure 1), and at least one wear plate fixed upon the smooth bottom surface of at least one third area of the molded mat (figure 1 number 26a).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/698,265

Art Unit: 1772

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

2. Claims 1-9 and 11-13, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu in view of Primeau (5482759).

Lu discloses a molded flexible mat having a raised peripheral lip provided on side edges of the molded mat (figure 1 the sides of the mat), a first area contained within the peripheral lip (figure 1 lower third of the mat and upper third of the mat), the first area having a recessed bottom surface and a plurality of raised protrusions extending from the bottom surface thereby providing a texturized surface (figure 1 lower third of the mat and upper third of the mat), at least one third area having a substantially smooth bottom surface (figure 1 area inbetween the protrusions) and at least one wear plate fixed upon the smooth bottom surface of at least one third area of the molded plate (figure 1 lower third of the mat). Lu discloses that the second area contained within the peripheral has a recessed floor and a plurality of elongated parallel ridges protruding form the recessed floor (figure 1 middle of the mat). Lu discloses that the second area further comprises a plurality of raised bumps on the recessed floor in between the parallel ridges (figure 1 middle of the mat). Lu discloses that the first area of the molded mat generally covers an upper section and a lower section of a substantial floor area of the mat (figure 1 upper third and lower third of the mat) and the second area of the molded mat generally covers a middle section of the substantial floor area of the mat (figure 1 middle section). Lu discloses at least one wear plate comprises a heel plate located in the lower section of the mat (figure 1 any portion of lower third of the mat). Lu discloses that at least one

Application/Control Number: 09/698,265

Art Unit: 1772

wear plate comprises a toe plate located in the upper section of the mat (figure 1 any portion of upper third of the mat). Lu discloses at least one wear plate comprises a toe plate located in the upper section of the mat and a heel plate located in the lower section of the mat (figure 1 any portion of the upper third section and any portion of the lower third portion). Lu discloses that at least one wear plate is surrounded at its edges by a contoured wall, raised and extending up from the bottom surface (figure 1 the side walls of the mat). Lu discloses at least one wear plate comprises a toe plate located in the upper section of the mat and a heel plate located in the lower section of the mat (figure 1 any portion of the upper third and lower third portion of the mat). Lu discloses that the floor mat further comprises a backside of the molded mat, the backside having at least one gripping section for gripping the vehicle floor, the gripping section having a plurality of outwardly extending protrusions (figure 2). Lu discloses that at least one gripping section located on the backside of the mat opposite the wear plate fixed to the front surface of the mat (figure 2). Lu disclose at least one third area is contained within a surrounding wall raised and extending up from the bottom surface (figure 1 inbetween the protrusion in the lower third).

Lu fail to disclose at least one third area provided within the first area of the mat and adjacent to the texturized surface pattern. Primeau teaches at least one third area provided within the first area of the mat and adjacent to the texturized surface pattern for the purpose of providing a removable portion that can be cleanable out of the car (col. 1 lines 29-31).

Page 4

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide Lu with at least one third area provided within the first area of the mat and adjacent to the texturized surface pattern for the in order to provide a removable portion that can be cleanable out of the car (col. 1 lines 29-31) as taught by Primeau.

Page 5

3. Claims 10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu (Des 422256) and Primeau in view of Wen-Hwang (5215348).

Lu and Primeau discloses the floor mat described above. Lu fails to disclose that the wear plate comprises a metal plate having a texturized surface pattern. Wen-Hwang teaches that the wear plate is made of hard plastic for the purpose of increasing the serviceable life of the footpad (col. 2 lines 50-52). However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that hard plastic could be replaced with metal since they obtain similar durability in nature. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have provided the wear plate a metal plate, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice absence of showing unexpected results. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

- 4. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu, Primeau, Wen Hwang in view of Bailey.
- Lu, Primeau, Wen Hwang discloses the floor mat described above. Lu, Primeau, Wen Hwang fail to disclose that the metal plate is fixed upon the smooth bottom surface

Application/Control Number: 09/698,265 Page 6

Art Unit: 1772

of at least one third area of the molded mat by a plurality of rivets passing through the floor mat. Bailey teaches a plurality of rivets passing through the floor mat for the purpose of to help hold the grill in place (col. 3 lines 45-47).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide Lu, Primeau, Wen Hwang with a plurality of rivets passing through the floor mat in order to help hold the grill in place (col. 3 lines 45-47).

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/15/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Primeau fail to disclose a wear plate fixed upon the bottom surface of the molded mat, Primeau does disclose a wear plate in figure 1 number 26a. A wear plate is broadly defined by the examiner wherein almost anything can be described as a wear plate just as long as use is applied to the area, it may be defined as a wear plate, therefore, in figure 1 number 26a the plate is used to collect fluids or contaminants that adhere to the driver's feet can also be a wear plate since the driver's feet utilizes the area. As to the wear plate being fixed upon the bottom surface of the molded mat, the term fix is defined as to place securely; make stable or firm<sup>1</sup> therefore since the wear plate 26a is placed securely in the recessed of area 22 it is fixed upon the bottom surface of the molded mat (figure 1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation; further reproduction

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

In response to applicant's argument that Bailey does not teach rivets that pass through the floor mat as recited in claims 16-18, Bailey does teach rivets, col. 3 lines 45-47 that pass through the floor mat as recited in claims 16-18, the rivets pass through the slots of 23' in figure 1b which has the wear plate fixed to the bottom surface of the floor mat.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jane J Rhee whose telephone number is 703-605-4959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,265

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Jane Rhee

August 26, 2003

Page 8